1	PETER B. MARETZ, SBN 144826			
2	pmaretz@stokeswagner.com OMAR V. HERNANDEZ, SBN 340089			
	ohernandez@stokeswagner.com			
3	STOKES WAGNER ALC 401 W. A. Street, Suite 2235			
4	San Diego, CA 92101			
5	Telephone: (619) 232-4261 facsimile: (619) 232-4840			
6	Attorneys for Defendant			
7	EVENT TICKETS CENTER, INC.			
8	BEN TRAVIS, SBN 305641			
	ben@bentravislaw.com			
9	BEN TRAVIS LAW, APC 4660 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 100			
10	San Diego, CA 92122			
11	Phone: (619) 353-7966			
12	MICHAEL R. REESE, SBN 206773			
13	mreese@reesellp.com REESE LLP			
	100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor			
14	New York, New York 10025			
15	Telephone: (212) 643-0500			
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff MIKHAIL GERSHZON			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
18	NORTHERN DISTRIC	T OF CALIFORNIA		
19				
20	MIKHAIL GERSHZON, an individual,	Case No. 3:24-cv-04142-AGT		
21	on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated,	Hon. Alex G. Tse		
	Plaintiff,	JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT		
22	,	STATEMENT		
23	V.			
24	EVENT TICKETS CENTER, INC., a Florida Corporation,			
25	Defendant.			
26				
27				
1				

1
 2
 3

3 | follo

56

4

7

8

9

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), Plaintiff Mikhail Gershzon, ("Plaintiff") and Defendant Event Tickets Center, Inc. ("Defendant") (jointly, the "Parties") jointly lodge the following report.

The parties' counsel identified below participated in a meeting as required by Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Court's Order Setting Scheduling Conference and have prepared the following joint report.

## 1. JURISDICTION AND SERVICE

Plaintiff alleges that the court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. 1332(d) ("CAFA"), as Plaintiff (California) and Defendant (Florida) are diverse, there are over 100 alleged class members, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$5 million. There are no issues regarding personal jurisdiction or venue. Defendant was served and has made an appearance by counsel.

Defendant does not dispute subject matter jurisdiction. However, Defendant disputes that this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant due to the Defendant's lack of contact with the forum state.

## 2. FACTS

Plaintiff: Defendant operates the website eventticketscenter.com. Defendant utilizes advertising to draw consumers to its website. The advertising contains misrepresentations that lead consumers to believe that by clicking on the link, they will be visiting the actual site for the venue. Once Defendant lures consumers into visiting its website, it continues its deception by leading consumers to believe that the tickets are limited or almost sold out, when in fact that is untrue. This enables Defendant to charge a hefty premium on the tickets. Even after manipulating the consumer into making a purchase at a grossly inflated price, Defendant continues to scam the consumer. Defendant provides counterfeit tickets or in some instances does not provide the tickets at all.

Plaintiff purchased tickets from Defendant believing he was purchasing tickets from the venue. He was misled to pay more than he would have and ended up receiving fraudulent tickets.

1	Defendant: Defendant operates the a ticket resell marketplace website called	
2	eventticketscenter.com. Defendant provides a platform for consumers to purchase and sell	
3	tickets typically for entertainment-related events. On April 2, 2023, Plaintiff purchased tickets	
4	to a Queen & Adam Lambert concert which was scheduled to to take place on Saturday	
5	November 11, 2023 in Los Angeles, California. Plaintiff received a confirmation email of his	
6		
	purchase on April 2, 2023.	
7	On November 6, 2023 Plaintiff received an email confirming Plaintiff's tickets were ready to	
8	be claimed. This November 6, 2023 email contained instructions for accessing the tickets.	
9	On November 8, 2023 Plaintiff received an email confirming that the tickets had been	
10	transferred to Plaintiff's email, the same email Plaintiff used to purchase the tickets on April 2,	
11	2023. The November 8, 2023 email also contained instructions on how to locate the tickets if	
12	Plaintiff had not yet "claimed" them per the instructions from the November 6, 2023 email.	
13	Defendant's records show that tickets were transferred on November 6, 2023 and Plaintiff	
14	downloaded them on November 11, 2023. Further records indicate that Plaintiff or whoever	
15	Plaintiff directed to access the tickets had technical issues with their smartphone. Defendant	
16	fulfilled Plaintiff's purchase according to their policies and procedures. Defendant provided	
17	Plaintiff with ttimely, valid, and legitimate tickets for Plaintiff's purchase on April 2, 2023.	
18	3. LEGAL ISSUES	
19	The Parties dispute whether Defendant's conduct was unlawful and whether Plaintiff suffered	
20	injury.	
21	Defendant also disputes that Plaintiff is a viable class member to represent a putative class.	
22	4. MOTIONS	
23	There are no pending motions.	
24	Plaintiff anticipates filing a Motion for Class Certification. Plaintiff may also file a Motion for	
25	Summary Judgment.	
26	Defendant anticipates opposing any Motion for Class Certification. Defendant also may file a	

Motion for Summary Judgment/Adjudication.

27

28

5. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS

2	Plaintiff does not intend to amend his complaint at this point but reserves his right to amend	
3	Plaintiff proposes that the Court set the deadline to amend pleadings to January 31, 2025.	
4	6. EVIDENCE PRESERVATION	
5	The Parties have reviewed the Guidelines Relating to the Discovery of Electronically Stored	
6	Information ("ESI Guidelines") and confirm that they have met and conferred pursuant to Fe	
7	R. Civ. P. 26(f) regarding reasonable and proportionate steps taken to preserve evidence	
8	relevant to the issues reasonably evident in this action.	
9	7. DISCLOSURES	
10	The Parties will serve their Initial Disclosures under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 on October 4, 2024.	
11	8. DISCOVERY	
12	Plaintiff will serve discovery shortly. Plaintiff asserts discovery should not be bifurcated.	
13	Defendant will serve discovery shortly. Defendant asserts discovery should be bifurcate	
14	because Defendant contends Plaintiff does not have viable individual claims.	
15	The Parties do not propose any limitations or modifications to the discovery rules, and filed	
16	Stipulated Protective Order based on the Model Protective Order for the Northern District of	
17	California.	
18	There are no identified discovery disputes at this time.	
19	The parties anticipate standard discovery including written discovery, document productions	
20	third party subpoenas, depositions, and expert discovery.	
21	The parties are not yet certain how many depositions of fact witnesses will be requested. The	
22	parties do not request permission to serve more than 25 interrogatories.	
23	9. CLASS ACTION	
24	Plaintiff proposes that class certification discovery not proceed separately from meri	
25	discovery.	
26	Defendant contends that class certification discovery proceed separately from merits discovery	
27	because Defendant contends Plaintiff does not have a viable individual claim and denies all	
28	individual and class claims alleged.	
- 1		

1	All attorneys of record for the Parties have reviewed the Procedural Guidance for Class Action	
2	Settlements.	
3	10. RELATED CASES	
4	None.	
5	11. RELIEF	
6	Plaintiff seeks the following forms of relief:	
7	a) Class certification under FRCP 23;	
8	b) An award of compensatory, statutory, and nominal damages in an amount to be	
9	determined;	
10	c) An award for equitable relief requiring restitution and disgorgement of the revenues	
11	wrongfully retained as a result of Defendant's wrongful conduct;	
12	d) Public Injunctive Relief	
13	e) An award of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and litigation expenses, as allowable	
14	by law; and	
15	f) Such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.	
16	Defendant denies Plaintiff is entitled to any such damages or relief as requested above, and	
17	seeks recovery of its costs and attorneys' fees. Defendant also seeks such other relief as the	
18	Court deems appropriate.	
19	12. SETTLEMENT AND ADR	
20	There have been settlement discussions. The Parties agree to private mediation.	
21	13. OTHER REFERENCES	
22	None.	
23	14. NARROWING OF ISSUES	
24	The Parties have identified that the following issues can be narrowed by motion: (1) wheth	
25	Plaintiff may maintain the action as a class action, based upon Rule 23.	
26	15. PROPOSED SCHEDULES	
27	The Parties offer their proposed schedule below.	
28		

Deadline	<b>Proposed Date</b>
Plaintiff shall file his class certification brief	August 1, 2025
Defendant shall file its opposition to class certification	September 8, 2025
Plaintiff shall file his reply to Defendant's opposition to class certification	October 6, 2025
Fact discovery shall close	60 days after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Plaintiff shall serve his expert reports	3 months after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Defendant shall serve its expert reports	3 months after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Expert Rebuttal Reports due	5 months after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Expert discovery shall close	6 months after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Summary judgment motions shall be filed	7 months after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Oppositions to summary judgment motions shall be filed	Four weeks after Defendant files its summary judgment motion
Replies to oppositions to summary judgment motions shall be filed	Six weeks after Defendant files its summary judgment motion
Dispositive Motion Hearing Date	11 months after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Pretrial Conference	To be determined after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification
Trial on the merits	To be determined after a ruling on Plaintiff's Motion for Class Certification

- 1			
1	16. TRIAL		
2	Plaintiff contends that this is a jury proceeding and anticipates the trial will take two weeks.		
3	Defendant also requests a jury trial and anticipates trial will take two weeks.		
4	17. DISCLOSURE OF NON-PARTY INTERESTED PERSONS		
5	The Parties have filed their Certificates of Interested Entities or Persons. There are no person		
6	firms, partnerships, corporations (including parent corporations) or other entities known by the		
7	party to have either: (i) a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to		
8	the proceeding; or (ii) any other kind of interest that could be substantially affected by the		
9			
10	18. PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT		
11	All attorneys of record for the parties have reviewed the Guidelines for Professional Conduc		
12	for the Northern District of California.		
13	19. OTHER		
14	None.		
15	Respectfully submitted,		
16			
17	DATED: October 4, 2024 /s/ Ben Travis		
18	BEN TRAVIS		
19	Attorney for Plaintiff MIKHAIL GERSHZON		
20			
21	DATED: October 4, 2024 /s/ Omar V. Hernandez	_	
22	PETER B. MARETZ		
23	OMAR V. HERNANDEZ		
24	Attorney for Defendant EVENT TICKE CENTER, INC.	ETS	
25	CENTER, INC.		
26			
27			
28			

7
JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT

## 

1	I hereby attest that all other s	signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted,
2	concur in the filing's content, and ha	ave authorized the filing.
3		
4	DATED: October 4, 2024	/s/ Ben Travis
5		BEN TRAVIS
6		Attorney for Plaintiff MIKHAIL GERSHZON
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	JOINT C.	ASE MANAGEMENT STATEMENT